

FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF ACRONYMS.....	xiii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
A. PURPOSE OF THE RESPONSES TO COMMENTS DOCUMENT	1
B. ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW PROCESS	1
C. BART BOARD DECISION.....	4
D. DOCUMENT ORGANIZATION	15
CHAPTER 2 LIST OF COMMENTERS	17
A. ORGANIZATION OF COMMENTS AND RESPONSES	17
B. LIST OF AGENCIES, ORGANIZATIONS, AND INDIVIDUALS COMMENTING ON THE DRAFT EIR	17
C. NON-CEQA-RELATED COMMENTS	24
CHAPTER 3 MASTER RESPONSES	31
A. MASTER RESPONSE 1: FUNDING FOR THE BART TO LIVERMORE EXTENSION PROJECT AND LIVERMORE’S CONTRIBUTION.....	31
B. MASTER RESPONSE 2: APPLICABILITY OF BART’S SYSTEM EXPANSION POLICY TO THE LIVERMORE EXTENSION	34
C. MASTER RESPONSE 3: COORDINATION WITH THE CITY OF LIVERMORE AND THE ISABEL NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN PROCESS	36
D. MASTER RESPONSE 4: EXTENSION TO GREENVILLE.....	38
E. MASTER RESPONSE 5: STORAGE AND MAINTENANCE FACILITY – NEED, SIZE AND CAPACITY, AND COST AND COST ALLOCATION	40
F. MASTER RESPONSE 6: STORAGE AND MAINTENANCE FACILITY LOCATION AND ALTERNATIVE SITES	45
G. MASTER RESPONSE 7: STORAGE AND MAINTENANCE FACILITY IMPACTS.....	53
H. MASTER RESPONSE 8: EFFECTS OF THE LIVERMORE EXTENSION ON THE BART SYSTEM	67
I. MASTER RESPONSE 9: DUBLIN-PLEASANTON STATION PARKING EXPANSION.....	70
J. MASTER RESPONSE 10: ASSEMBLY BILL 758 AND THE TRI-VALLEY-SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY REGIONAL RAIL AUTHORITY	72

K.	MASTER RESPONSE 11: ACE AND THE ACEFORWARD PROGRAM	73
CHAPTER 4 COMMENTS AND RESPONSES		77
A.	FEDERAL AND STATE AGENCIES	79
LETTER A1	Patricia Maurice, California Department of Transportation, District 4	81
LETTER A2	Issa Bouri, California Department of Transportation, District 4.....	95
LETTER A3	Governor's Office of Planning and Research, State Clearinghouse and Planning Unit.....	121
LETTER A4	Lynda Seaver, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory.....	125
LETTER A5	Eric Swalwell	127
B.	REGIONAL AND LOCAL AGENCIES.....	139
LETTER B1	Albert Lopez, Alameda County Community Development Agency	141
LETTER B2	Scott Haggerty, Alameda-San Joaquin Regional Rail Working Group.....	149
LETTER B3	Christopher L. Foss, City of Dublin	171
LETTER B4	Steven Spedowski, City of Livermore	225
LETTER B5	Gerry Beaudin, City of Pleasanton	241
LETTER B6	Robert Rickman, City of Tracy	251
LETTER B7	Michael Tree, Livermore Amador Valley Transit Authority	255
LETTER B8	Dan Leavitt, San Joaquin Regional Rail Commission	263
LETTER B9	Elke Rank, Zone 7 Water Agency.....	283
LETTER B10	Scott Haggerty, Alameda County Board of Supervisors.....	295
C.	ORGANIZATIONS AND COMPANIES.....	317
LETTER C1	Gerald Cauthen, Bay Area Transportation Working Group	319
LETTER C2	Karen Whitestone, California Native Plant Society.....	327
LETTER C3	Linda C. Klein, Chamberlin Associates	339
LETTER C4	Citizens for Balanced Growth.....	355
LETTER C5	Jeffery Levin, East Bay Housing Organizations.....	367
LETTER C6	Allen Payton, eTranz USA	371
LETTER C7	Michael Kay, Pritchard and Kay on behalf of Hamcor, Inc (Dublin Toyota, Dublin Hyundai, Dublin Volkswagen, Dublin Nissan, and 4321 Toyota Drive, LLC)	373
LETTER C8	David Blackwell, Allen Matkins LLP on behalf of Ikea Property, Inc.	379
LETTER C9	Chris Chandler, Livermore Valley Winegrowers Association	385
LETTER C10	Gordon Chandler, Livermore Venture Partners	387
LETTER C11	Matt Williams, Sierra Club.....	393
LETTER C12	David Schonbrunn, Transportation Solutions Defense and Education Fund	401
LETTER C13a	Barbara Steinfeld, Visit Tri-Valley	409
LETTER C13b	Barbara Steinfeld, Visit Tri-Valley	410

LETTER C14	Dawn P. Argula, Livermore Valley Chamber of Commerce	413
D.	INDIVIDUALS	417
LETTER D1	Robert Allen.....	419
LETTER D2	Alex Araki.....	445
LETTER D3	Benjamin Baez	447
LETTER D4a	Evan Branning.....	449
LETTER D4b	Evan Branning.....	455
LETTER D5	Edward Broadhurst	457
LETTER D6	Alan Burnham.....	459
LETTER D7	Katie Cefalu	461
LETTER D8	Maeve Dilley	463
LETTER D9	Steven Dunbar	465
LETTER D10	Chloe Eldredge	469
LETTER D11	Jan Eldredge	471
LETTER D12	Margaret Fazio.....	473
LETTER D13	Benjamin Fortin	475
LETTER D14	Carol Gerich	477
LETTER D15	Kevin Goff	481
LETTER D16	Chris N. Grimes	485
LETTER D17	Ben Hayaishi	487
LETTER D18	Karen Jefferson	489
LETTER D19	Nicholas Josefowitz.....	491
LETTER D20	Elizabeth Judge.....	499
LETTER D21	Vamsee Lakamsani	501
LETTER D22	Joseph Ledbetter.....	503
LETTER D23	Lisa	505
LETTER D24	Saundra Lormand	507
LETTER D25	Zane Marte	509
LETTER D26	Lona McCallister	511
LETTER D27	Nicky Neau	517
LETTER D28	Mary Perner	521
LETTER D29	James Ransom	523
LETTER D30	Patricia Ratto	525
LETTER D31	Stacie Rohovit.....	527
LETTER D32	Leland Stanley	529
LETTER D33	Richard Stanley.....	533
LETTER D34	Kyoko Takayama.....	535
LETTER D35	Allison Tebbe	537
LETTER D36	Daniel Tet.....	541
LETTER D37	Greg Thompson.....	545
LETTER D38	Ticiarfaglio	553
LETTER D39	Alfred Twu.....	555
LETTER D40	Soumya Upadhyay.....	557
LETTER D41	Brandt Weibezahn	559
LETTER D42	Beth Wilson.....	561
LETTER D43	Vaugh Wolfe	565
LETTER D44	Joy Yang	569

E.	PUBLIC MEETINGS	571
	Livermore Public Hearing	
	RESPONSE PH1-S1 Nancy Bankhead.....	634
	RESPONSE PH1-S2 Anne Homan	635
	RESPONSE PH1-S3 Karen Jefferson	637
	RESPONSE PH1-S4 Thomas Jefferson	637
	RESPONSE PH1-S5 Robert S. Allen	639
	RESPONSE PH1-S6 Evan Branning	639
	RESPONSE PH1-S7 Lisa Tromovitch	640
	RESPONSE PH1-S8 Merlin Newton.....	640
	RESPONSE PH1-S9 Daniel Casner.....	641
	RESPONSE PH1-S10 Patricia Munro.....	641
	RESPONSE PH1-S11 Mike Kujacich.....	642
	RESPONSE PH1-S12 Peta Grimes.....	642
	RESPONSE PH1-S13 Lynn Schussel	642
	RESPONSE PH1-S14 Leo M. Mara	642
	RESPONSE PH1-S15 Greg Thompson	643
	RESPONSE PH1-S16 Daniel Tet	643
	RESPONSE PH1-S17 Patricia Ratto.....	644
	RESPONSE PH1-S18 Corrie Karlsen	645
	RESPONSE PH1-S19 Maria DeLuz.....	645
	RESPONSE PH1-S20 Gary Marx	646
	RESPONSE PH1-S21 Larry Vardanega.....	646
	RESPONSE PH1-S22 Marianne Bidwell	646
	RESPONSE PH1-S23 Jeff Kaskey	647
	RESPONSE PH1-S24 Vamsee Lakamsani.....	648
	RESPONSE PH1-S25 Ronald Acciaioli	648
	RESPONSE PH1-S17 Patricia Ratto.....	648
	RESPONSE PH1-S14 Leo M. Mara	649
	RESPONSE PH1-S1 Nancy Bankhead.....	649
	RESPONSE PH1-S17 Patricia Ratto.....	649
	Dublin Public Hearing	
	RESPONSE PH2-S1 Robert Allen	685
	RESPONSE PH2-S2 Vaughn Wolffe	685
	RESPONSE PH2-S3 Cathy Gabor	687
	RESPONSE PH2-S4 John Phillips	687
	RESPONSE PH2-S5 Inder Dosanjh	687
	RESPONSE PH2-S6 Evan Branning	688
	RESPONSE PH2-S7 Chuck Weir.....	688
	RESPONSE PH2-S8 Nancy Bankhead.....	689
	RESPONSE PH2-S9 Doug Mann	690
	RESPONSE PH2-S10 Stephanie Ericson.....	692
	RESPONSE PH2-S11 Gregg McKerroll	692

CHAPTER 5 DRAFT EIR REVISIONS.....695

- A. COVER, TITLE PAGE, AND TABLE OF CONTENTS695
- B. SUMMARY695
- C. CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION.....701
- D. CHAPTER 2 PROJECT DESCRIPTION.....704
- E. CHAPTER 3 ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS.....709
- F. CHAPTER 4 OTHER CEQA CONSIDERATIONS.....856
- G. CHAPTER 5 PROJECT MERITS.....857
- H. CHAPTER 6 LIST OF PREPARERS AND REFERENCES857
- I. APPENDICES857

APPENDICES

- A. Non-CEQA-Related Comments
- B. Revised Draft EIR Appendices
- C. Attachment to Comment Letter

List of Figures

Figure 3-1	Existing BART Shops	41
Figure 3-2	Locations Previously Considered for the Storage and Maintenance Facility	46
Figure 3-3	I-580 Elevation Profile East of Isabel Station.....	50
Figure 3-4	Noise Measurement Locations	57
Figure 3-5	Viewpoint Locations	63
Figure 3-6	Conventional BART Project, North Livermore Avenue and Hartman Road	65
Figure 3-7	Conventional BART Project, West End of Hartman Road.....	66
Figure 3-8	ACE System Map	75

Revised Figures from Draft EIR

Cover	Revised Vol 1 Cover	113
Figure S-1	Conventional BART Overview	119
Figure S-2	DMU Alternative Overview	120
Figure 4.B-1	Preliminary Engineering for Altamirano Avenue Relocation	193
Figure 2-15	DMU Alternative – Typical DMU and EMU Vehicles.....	271
Figure 3.H-2	Surface Hydrology	292
Figure S-1	Conventional BART – Overview	699
Figure S-2	DMU Alternative – Overview.....	700
Figure 2-1	Conventional BART Project – Overview	705
Figure 2-13	DMU Alternative	706
Figure 2-15	DMU Alternative – Typical DMU and EMU Vehicle	707
Figure 3.B-10	Intersection LOS and Change in AM Delay 2025 Conventional BART Project	743
Figure 3.B-11	Intersection LOS and Change in PM Delay 2025 Conventional BART Project	744
Figure 3.B-12	Intersection LOS and Change in AM Delay 2025 DMU Alternative	745
Figure 3.B-13	Intersection LOS and Change in PM Delay 2025 DMU Alternative	746
Figure 3.B-14	Intersection LOS and Change in AM Delay 2025 Express Bus Alternative	747
Figure 3.B-15	Intersection LOS and Change in PM Delay 2025 Express Bus/BRT Alternative	748
Figure 3.B-16	Intersection LOS and Change in AM Delay 2025 Enhanced Bus Alternative	749
Figure 3.B-17	Intersection LOS and Change in PM Delay 2025 Enhanced Bus Alternative	750

Figure 3.B-27 Intersection LOS and Change in AM Delay 2025 Conventional
 BART Project Cumulative Conditions 768

Figure 3.B-28 Intersection LOS and Change in PM Delay 2025 Conventional
 BART Project Cumulative Conditions 769

Figure 3.B-29 Intersection LOS and Change in AM Delay 2025 DMU Alternative
 Cumulative Conditions..... 770

Figure 3.B-30 Intersection LOS and Change in PM Delay 2025 DMU Alternative
 Cumulative Conditions..... 771

Figure 3.B-31 Intersection LOS and Change in AM Delay 2025 Express Bus
 Alternative Cumulative Conditions 772

Figure 3.B-32 Intersection LOS and Change in PM Delay 2025 Express Bus
 Alternative Cumulative Conditions 773

Figure 3.B-33 Intersection LOS and Change in AM Delay 2025 Enhanced Bus
 Alternative Cumulative Conditions 774

Figure 3.B-34 Intersection LOS and Change in PM Delay 2025 Enhanced Bus
 Alternative Cumulative Conditions 775

Figure 3.E-8 Conventional BART – El Charro Road Overpass 789

Figure 3.E-17 DMU Alternative – El Charro Road Overpass..... 790

Figure 3.E-19 EMU Option – El Charro Road Overpass 791

Figure 3.H-2 Surface Hydrology..... 793

Figure 3.J-2 Noise Measurement Locations..... 800

List of Tables

Table 1-1 Assessment of Project Goals and Objectives 8

Table 2-1 List of Federal and State Agency Commenters 18

Table 2-2 List of Regional and Local Agency Commenters..... 18

Table 2-3 List of Organization and Company Commenters..... 19

Table 2-4 List of Individual Commenters..... 20

Table 2-5 List of Livermore Public Hearing Commenters 23

Table 2-6 List of Dublin Public Hearing Commenters 24

Table 2-7 List of Individual Commenters..... 25

Table 3-1 2040 AM Peak Hour Westbound Arriving Loads at Blue Line BART
 Stations 68

Table 3-2 2040 PM Peak Hour Eastbound Arriving Loads at Blue Line BART
 Stations 69

Table 4.B-1 Specific Properties Mentioned by Commenter..... 190

Table 4.B-2 Cost per Mile Comparison for Extensions of Conventional BART
 Technology..... 239

Table 4.B-3 Cost Effectiveness Metrics for Proposed Project and Alternatives 246

Table 4.B-4	Travel Time for Hypothetical Trip Using BART, Year 2040 No Project	280
Table 4.B-5	Travel Time for Hypothetical Trip Using ACE, Year 2040 No Project	281
Table 4.C-1	AM and PM Peak-Hour, Peak-Direction Arriving Train Loads Per Car, 2025.....	360
Table 4.C-2	Peak-Hour, Peak-Direction Arriving Train Loads Per Car, 2040	361
Table 4.D-1	Right-of-Way Requirement for Express Bus/BRT Alternative.....	496

Revised Tables from Draft EIR

Table 3.J-19.A	Conventional BART Project – Predicted Nighttime Noise Levels from BART Trains on Tail tracks in 2025.....	58
Table 3.J-19.B	Conventional BART Project – Predicted Day-Night Noise Levels from Operations of the Storage and Maintenance Facility.....	59
Table 3.J-19.C	Conventional BART Project – Predicted Nighttime Noise Levels from Operations of the Storage and Maintenance Facility.....	59
Table 3.B-13	I-580 Lane Configuration in 2014 and 2025/2040, No Project Conditions	114
Table 3.B-18	Local Roadway Improvements, 2025 and 2040 No Project Conditions	196
Table 3.B-30.A	Vehicle Trips Removed from the Roadway Network by the Proposed Project and Alternatives (2025 and 2040).....	199
Table 3.B-30.B	Number of BART Riders Originating from San Joaquin County (2040).....	200
Table 3.B-14	I-580 Performance in AM, 2025 No Project Conditions.....	200
Table 3.B-15	I-580 Performance in PM, 2025 No Project Conditions	201
Table 3.B-16	I-580 Performance in AM, 2040 No Project Conditions.....	202
Table 3.B-17	I-580 Performance in PM, 2040 No Project Conditions	203
Table 3.K-19	Maximum Operational Project Cancer Risk at Off-Site Receptors in 2025	208
Table 3.K-20	Maximum Annual Average Operational Project PM _{2.5} Concentrations at Off-Site Receptors in 2025	209
Table 3.K-21	Maximum Operational Project Cancer Risk at Off-Site Receptors in 2040.....	213
Table 3.K-22	Maximum Annual Average Operational Project PM _{2.5} Concentrations at Off-Site Receptors in 2040	214
Table 3.K-25	Maximum Operational Cancer Risk at Off-Site Receptors, 2025 Cumulative Conditions	216

Table 3.K-26	Maximum Annual Average Operational PM _{2.5} Concentrations at Off-Site Receptors, 2025 Cumulative Conditions	217
Table 3.K-27	Maximum Operational Cancer Risk at Off-Site Receptors, under 2040 Cumulative Conditions	219
Table 3.K-28	Maximum Annual Average Operational PM _{2.5} Concentrations at Off-Site Receptors, under 2040 Cumulative Conditions.....	220
Table 3.B-4	Surrounding Transit Services, Existing.....	274
Table 3.B-5	Weekday Ridership, Existing.....	275
Table 1	Cumulative Projects and Plans.....	347
Table 3.A-2A	Projected Growth in the INP Area through 2040	709
Table 3.B-2	Intersection Level of Service, Existing (2013).....	710
Table 3.B-5	Surrounding Transit Services, Existing.....	713
Table 3.B-6	Weekday Ridership, Existing.....	715
Table 3.B-13	I-580 Lane Configuration in 2014 and 2025/2040, No Project Conditions	717
Table 3.B-14	I-580 Performance in AM, 2025 No Project Conditions.....	718
Table 3.B-15	I-580 Performance in PM, 2025 No Project Conditions	719
Table 3.B-16	I-580 Performance in AM, 2040 No Project Conditions.....	720
Table 3.B-17	I-580 Performance in PM, 2040 No Project Conditions	721
Table 3.B-18	Local Roadway Improvements, 2025 and 2040 No Project Conditions	722
Table 3.B-19	Local Roadway Intersection Performance, 2025 and 2040 No Project Conditions	723
Table 3.B-30.A	Vehicle Trips Removed from the Roadway Network by the Proposed Project and Alternatives	726
Table 3.B-30.B	Number of BART Riders Originating from San Joaquin County.....	727
Table 3.B-32	AM Westbound General-Purpose Freeway Level of Service, 2025 Project Conditions	728
Table 3.B-34	AM Eastbound General-Purpose Freeway Level of Service, 2025 Project Conditions	729
Table 3.B-35	PM Eastbound General-Purpose Freeway Level of Service, 2025 Project Conditions	730
Table 3.B-36	AM Westbound General-Purpose Freeway Level of Service, 2040 Project Conditions	731
Table 3.B-39	PM Eastbound General-Purpose Freeway Level of Service, 2040 Project Conditions	732
Table 3.B-40	AM Westbound HOV/Express Lane Freeway Level of Service, 2025 Project Conditions.....	734
Table 3.B-43	PM Eastbound HOV/Express Lane Freeway Level of Service, 2025 Project Conditions	735
Table 3.B-44	AM Westbound I-580 HOV/Express Lane Level of Service, 2040 Project Conditions	737

Table 3.B-47	PM Eastbound I-580 HOV/Express Lane Level of Service, 2040 Project Conditions	738
Table 3.B-48	Intersection Delay and Level of Service, 2025 Project Conditions	739
Table 3.B-52	AM Westbound I-580 General-Purpose Freeway Level of Service, 2025 Cumulative Conditions	755
Table 3.B-55	PM Eastbound I-580 General-Purpose Freeway Level of Service, 2025 Cumulative Conditions	756
Table 3.B-56	AM Westbound I-580 General-Purpose Freeway Level of Service, 2040 Cumulative Conditions	757
Table 3.B-59	PM Eastbound I-580 General-Purpose Freeway Level of Service, 2040 Cumulative Conditions	758
Table 3.B-60	AM Westbound I-580 HOV/Express Lane Freeway Level of Service, 2025 Cumulative Conditions.....	761
Table 3.B-63	PM Eastbound I-580 HOV/Express Lane Freeway Level of Service, 2025 Cumulative Conditions	762
Table 3.B-67	PM Eastbound I-580 HOV/Express Lane Freeway Level of Service, 2040 Cumulative Conditions	763
Table 3.B-68	Intersection Level of Service and Change in Delay, 2025 Cumulative Conditions	764
Table 3.J-1	Summary of Ambient Noise Measurements in the Study Area.....	796
Table 3.J-2	Representative Sensitive Receptors within Study Area	801
Table 3.J-7	Operational Noise Impact Criteria.....	802
Table 3.J-8	Summary of Key Parameters for Operational Noise Analysis of BART and DMU Trains	804
Table 3.J-8A	Typical Storage and Maintenance Facility Activity Noise Levels	806
Table 3.J-12	Conventional BART Project – Predicted Construction Noise Levels At Representative Sensitive Receptors.....	807
Table 3.J-13	Conventional BART – Predicted Construction Vibration Levels At Representative Sensitive Receptors	810
Table 3.J-15	DMU Alternative – Predicted Construction Vibration Levels At Representative Sensitive Receptors	813
Table 3.J-18	Conventional BART Project – Location of Switches.....	815
Table 3.J-19	Conventional BART Project – Predicted Day-Night Noise Levels from BART Trains in 2025	816
Table 3.J-19.A	Conventional BART Project – Predicted Nighttime Noise Levels from BART Trains on Tail tracks in 2025.....	819
Table 3.J-19.B	Conventional BART Project – Predicted Day-Night Noise Levels from Operations of the Storage and Maintenance Facility.....	821
Table 3.J-19.C	Conventional BART Project – Predicted Nighttime Noise Levels from Operations of the Storage and Maintenance Facility.....	822
Table 3.J-21	DMU Alternative – Predicted Day-Night Noise Levels from DMU Trains in 2025	823

Table 3.J-24 Modeled Noise Levels on Local Roadways in 2025 827

Table 3.J-26 Modeled Peak Hour Noise Levels on Local Roadways in 2040 830

Table 3.J-30 Modeled Noise Levels on Local Roadways under 2025
 Cumulative Conditions 835

Table 3.J-32 Modeled Noise Levels on Local Roadways under 2040
 Cumulative Conditions 838

Table 3.K-19 Maximum Operational Project Cancer Risk at Off-Site Receptors
 in 2025 843

Table 3.K-20 Maximum Annual Average Operational Project PM_{2.5}
 Concentrations at Off-Site Receptors in 2025 844

Table 3.K-21 Maximum Operational Project Cancer Risk at Off-Site Receptors
 in 2040 848

Table 3.K-22 Maximum Annual Average Operational Project PM_{2.5}
 Concentrations at Off-Site Receptors in 2040 849

Table 3.K-25 Maximum Operational Cancer Risk at Off-Site Receptors, 2025
 Cumulative Conditions 851

Table 3.K-26 Maximum Annual Average Operational PM_{2.5} Concentrations at
 Off-Site Receptors, 2025 Cumulative Conditions 852

Table 3.K-27 Maximum Operational Cancer Risk at Off-Site Receptors, under
 2040 Cumulative Conditions 854

Table 3.K-28 Maximum Annual Average Operational PM_{2.5} Concentrations at
 Off-Site Receptors, under 2040 Cumulative Conditions 855

Table 1 Cumulative Projects and Plans 858

This page intentionally blank