

APPENDIX A NON-CEQA-RELATED COMMENTS

The following written comments were submitted to BART during the public review period and express opinions or preferences related to the BART to Livermore Extension Project. The comments do not raise environmental concerns, questions about the Draft EIR, or issues with the method by which BART has conducted the CEQA process. Accordingly, pursuant to CEQA, no response is necessary to these commenters, consistent with the CEQA Guidelines Section 15088, which state that responses should pertain to major or substantial environmental issues raised by commenters. Nevertheless, the comments have been included in this Responses to Comments document to provide the BART Board of Directors with a complete record of public input on the BART to Livermore Extension Project. Non-CEQA-related letters are organized by commenter’s last name, first name, then by date of letter, except in those instances where only one name was provided.

TABLE A-1 LIST OF INDIVIDUAL COMMENTERS

Author (last name, first name)	Page
Achari, Manoj	A-7
Achari, Manoj	A-8
Allen, Julene	A-9
Apodaca, Summer	A-10
Badri, Subramanyam	A-11
Balhorn, Rodney	A-12
Barr, Pamela	A-13
Bhutani, Kanishja	A-14
Blessy	A-15
Bonasera, Denielle	A-16
Borja, Henry	A-17
Brown, Stewart	A-18

TABLE A-1 LIST OF INDIVIDUAL COMMENTERS

Author (last name, first name)	Page
Buddala, Kalyan	A-19
Burchard, Madeline	A-20
Carlson, Christopher	A-21
Casner, Daniel	A-22
Ch, Gopal	A-23
Chacko, Roy	A-24
Chakrabarti, Sarbani	A-25
Chau, Susanna	A-26
Chau, Susanna	A-27
China	A-28
Chopra, Madhavi	A-29
Collins, John	A-30
Cooper, Brian	A-31
Costantino, Mori	A-32
Cunningham, Art	A-33
Das, Arun	A-34
Devella, Nihar	A-35
Devella, Nihar	A-36
Emmert, Patrick	A-37
Emmert, Patrick	A-38
Farzdeep	A-39
Gardner, Patricia	A-40
Gaurav, Kumar	A-41

TABLE A-1 LIST OF INDIVIDUAL COMMENTERS

Author (last name, first name)	Page
Ghalib, Khanbahadur	A-42
Gibbs, Jonathan	A-43
Gubiotti, Lena	A-44
Gubiotti, Paul	A-45
Gulrez, Mohammad	A-46
Gurushankar	A-47
Hammons, Joshua	A-48
Harsha	A-49
Herron, Barbara	A-50
Hollman, Mandy	A-51
Huguet, Roxanne	A-52
Jirra, Bhaupalash	A-53
Joyal, Carol	A-54
Justus helan, Preetha	A-55
Kansa, Kristine	A-56
Kaskey, Jeff	A-57
Katakam, Rama	A-58
Keith	A-59
Kumar, Manoj	A-60
Kumar Vadakapuram, Anil	A-61
Kundert, Michael	A-62
Lavanya	A-63

TABLE A-1 LIST OF INDIVIDUAL COMMENTERS

Author (last name, first name)	Page
Lewis	A-64
Longshore, Lani	A-65
Manghnani, Dhanraj	A-66
Manghnani, Pankaj	A-67
Manoj	A-68
Marcie	A-69
Marleau, Kristi	A-70
Marone, Sally	A-71
Matt	A-72
Mehrotra, Ramyata	A-73
Moran, Claire	A-74
Mueller, Karl	A-75
Myers, Catherine	A-76
Mysore, Tilak	A-77
Neerupa	A-78
Ok, Sundar	A-79
Padmanaban, Dinesh	A-80
Patil, Praveen	A-81
Pereira, Leon	A-82
Petty, Mark	A-83
Pradeep	A-84
Prakash Munagapti, Naga	A-85

TABLE A-1 LIST OF INDIVIDUAL COMMENTERS

Author (last name, first name)	Page
Ramesh, Saravanan	A-86
Royee, Rebbaz	A-87
Schmidt, Susan	A-88
Shah, Sam	A-89
Shamsuddin, Runa	A-90
Shilpa	A-91
Shiver, Iris	A-92
Sidda, Venkata	A-93
Sidda, Venkata	A-94
Sonal	A-95
Souza, Lori	A-96
Strout, Asa	A-97
Strout, Katie	A-98
Taylor	A-99
Tedla, Rajesh	A-100
Thompson, Greg	A-101
Tregear, Kevin	A-102
Tromovitch, Lisa	A-103
Truro, Heather	A-104
Tummala, Bidun	A-105
Turner, Janis	A-106
Turner, Janis	A-107

TABLE A-1 LIST OF INDIVIDUAL COMMENTERS

Author (last name, first name)	Page
Vargas, Thomas	A-108
Venkatesh, Varadha	A-109
Vergino, Eileen	A-110
W, Martin	A-111

Submitted on Tuesday, August 15, 2017

First Name: Manoj

Last Name: Achari

Comment: Full bart is the ideal solution. Bay area transportation is not the best compared to other places like New York , Chicago. Time to take revolutionary steps.

From: Achari, Manoj
Sent: Monday, October 16, 2017 1:45 PM
To: BART To Livermore Outreach
Subject: Strongly Support Full BART extended to Isabel, Livermore

Submitted on Thursday, August 10, 2017

First Name: Julene

Last Name: Allen

Subject: Livermore full service

Comment: Looking forward to full service so we can have access to SF and other employment opportunities. With safety as a priority it can be successful.

Submitted on Thursday, August 10, 2017

First Name: Summer

Last Name: Apodaca

Subject:

Comment: Bring FULL BART to Livermore!!! NO substituting a bus or diesel train!! WE Want FULL BART TO LIVERMORE!!

Submitted on Saturday, August 19, 2017

First Name: Subramanyam

Last Name: Badri

Subject: Livermore Bart extension

Comment:

Hi,

It very important to extend Bart until Livermore, In the future it can be extended to Tracy. Every day lot of people already traveling using Bart.

Thanks ,

Subramanyam Badri

From: Rodney Balhorn
Sent: Wednesday, September 06, 2017 1:15 PM
To: BART To Livermore Outreach
Subject: Comment on Bart to Livermore

As a long time resident of Livermore (since 1974), I have been paying taxes to support the extension of Bart to Livermore. During this time I have also watched traffic on 580 and the other local freeways increase to the point they have become a 'log jam' around commute times. Off peak commute times traffic has also gotten so heavy it is now difficult and very time consuming to go east anywhere into the Bay Area.

I am an avid supporter of extending Bart to Livermore and believe it is way overdue. I would use it to travel almost everywhere west of Livermore and do so as often as possible. But having to drive from Livermore to Pleasanton, fight to find parking, or take busses from Pleasanton Bart to Livermore just adds too much time and complicates the timing of travel due to bus schedules, etc.

It is my opinion that not extending Bart to Livermore (and tying it into the rail system) would be a very big mistake. Traveling 580 west from Livermore is getting to the point of being impractical.... and it will only get worse. It makes no sense to spend money out of the fund we have been trying to build up for the Livermore Bart extension to provide a bussing or shuttle services as both will, if they are used properly by Livermore and Tracy residence, just add additional traffic onto 580.

If the reason we only have a half a billion in the fund after all these years is because we have been taking funds out for other projects, that should stop. The only real long term solution for reducing the traffic on 580 is to extend Bart to Livermore and as far east as possible. Over the years many of us have voted in the allocation of additional funds to BART because the majority still want it to come to Livermore. If that doesn't happen, then that would be a misuse of the funding we approved and it would show the county/BART and the state is ignoring the will of the tax payers.

Figure out how to do it and bring BART to Livermore!

If we want to minimize the continual increase in traffic on 580 in future years, we actually should make a final extension beyond Livermore out to a Tracy BART station close to I5 and provide sufficient parking so the daily commuters from Tracy, Stockton, Modesto, etc stop driving over the Altamont and take BART as well.

Rod Balhorn

Submitted on Wednesday, August 23, 2017

First Name: Pamela

Last Name: Barr

Subject: BART to Livermore

Comment:

(1) The only extension option I support is the real-Bart extension to Livermore, running down the center of 580, no transfer at Dub/Pleas required.

If you cannot support that, then add more parking at the stations in Pleasanton and Dublin. Definitely NOT valet parking or those mechanical garage-lift machines. Build old-time many-multi-level parking structures.

You do want more riders, right? The current solution of raising the parking fee to free up parking spaces just discourages riders.

(2) Design this BART extension to allow for a future extension to Greenville Rd, where it needs to connect directly to the ACE train (NO bus link between them!). Or even better, plan long-term for Bart to run through the Altamont to Tracy. Ensure any Bart extension design that is accepted now is consistent with one of these long-term goals.

(3) The storage and maintenance facility at the Isabel station near Hartman Rd appears to be a poison pill, causing local support for this project to significantly decrease due to the proposed location, and increasing the cost of this 6-mile extension beyond what can be funded. The location of this facility also complicates any future extension of Bart to connect to the ACE train due to the backtracking that would be required to get trains several miles upstream to the start of the line at a future Greenville Station.

(4) Because this maintenance facility is needed to support the entire Bart system, construction costs for it should be covered by the entire system and must be handled separately from the cost for the Bart extension to Livermore.

Submitted on Sunday, August 20, 2017

First Name: Kanishka

Last Name: Bhutani

Subject: Re: BART to Livermore Extension Project

Comment: I've cursorily read the Draft EIR. I'm a Livermore resident. I support the BART Extension to Livermore Project. I will like to have the BART coming to my City. Thanks.

Submitted on Saturday, August 19, 2017

First Name: Blessy

Last Name:

Subject: Livermore Bart extension

Comment: Looking forward to have Livermore bart for better commute to San Francisco. I live in Livermore and working in San Francisco.

From: Denielle Bonasera
Sent: Sunday, October 15, 2017 9:27 AM
To: BART To Livermore Outreach
Subject: Response

I do not understand the logic of putting Bart at Isabel. It's a colossal waste of taxpayer money and won't help the issue. It needs to go out to Vasco.

If it doesn't go out to Vasco, it should be done at all. Quit wasting our money.

Also, your trains are disgusting and full of homeless sleeping on them. They are old and break down. The air conditioning seems to old work in the freaking winter so you freeze and in the summer you suffocate from the heat. I'm so very thankful I don't have to pay to commute on your disgusting trains any longer. It's a health and safety issue. Start focusing on those issues instead of dumping bart in an area that won't help the congesting on the roads.

Putting in a new station in a small residential area only a couple miles down the road from the last station is useless and puts those communities at risk. Who really thought this would be a good use of our money?!

Please come up with a better plan and leave us near Isabel alone. We don't want it here.

--

Sent from iPhone please excuse any errors in this message.

From: Henry Borja
Sent: Monday, July 31, 2017 7:59 PM
To: BART To Livermore Outreach
Subject: Acceleration On The Project

There has to be an avenue where the project could be accelerated in all the regulatory mandates.

Sent from my iPhone

Submitted on Thursday, August 10, 2017

First Name: Stewart

Last Name: Brown

Subject: I want full BART to Livermore

Comment:

Reasons:

- 1) Paying taxes for 35 years for promised full BART service to Livermore. The Proposed Project is the full BART service that we have been paying for - the other options are not.
- 2) Transfers inconvenient, doubly so for people with mobility issues and riders with bikes. Proposed Project minimizes transfers for riders.
- 3) Data from DEIR
 - a) There is no quantitative benefit superior to the Proposed Project
 - b) The data presented indicates that the Proposed Project recovers cost differences best.

Submitted on Sunday, August 20, 2017

First Name: Kalyan

Last Name: Buddala

Comment:

hi, Please approve the bart extension to livermore. This is really require for number of reasons. 1. It reduces lot of traffic on the 580 high way.

Which is always jam pack. 2. It helps for the safer travel. 3. It help livermore to grow. 4. Housing will be improve in this area.

Requesting you to please approve this.

Thank you

Regards

Kalyan

Submitted on Saturday, August 19, 2017 .

First Name: Madeline

Last Name: Burchard

Subject: Bart to Livermore

Comment: I live near the proposed Livermore Bart stops and I am in full support. It would be good for livermore and good for the Bay Area workforce that is forced to live in the Central Valley because of housing costs.

Submitted on Thursday, October 12, 2017

First Name: Christopher

Last Name: Carlson

Subject: Bring Full Bart to Livermore

Comment: The focus should be on full BART to Livermore, first to Isabelle Ave and ultimately out to Greenville Road. Livermore is a vibrant and growing community with a lot of residents working in areas currently serviced by BART lines. Residents have to fight through the crowded 580 corridor to make it to the Dublin/Pleasanton station which is impacted and has limited parking.

There is a very real and immediate need for BART to be extended to Livermore.

Submitted on Thursday, August 17, 2017

First Name: Daniel

Last Name: Casner

Subject: Full BART to Livermore

Comment: I am a Livermore resident and a daily BART commuter to San Francisco and I actively support full BART to Livermore with an eventual direct connection to ACE, it is the only fair and sustainable solution. Bus or connector train transfers are not an acceptable substitute for direct BART to Livermore.

Submitted on Wednesday, August 23, 2017

First Name: Gopal

Last Name: Ch

Subject:

Comment: We need Bart for livermore

Submitted on Saturday, August 19, 2017

First Name: Roy

Last Name: Chacko

Subject: Livermore BART

Comment: Interested in knowing more about Livermore BART expansion. I am living in Livermore and commute to SF, so does many in my community. This extension will definitely help most of us.

Submitted on Thursday, August 17, 2017

First Name: Sarbani

Last Name: Chakrabarti

Subject: Livermore Extension Project

Comment: This project is LONG overdue. The traffic on I580 going east has gone from bad to worse, resulting in long commutes and short tempers. This is unsustainable. You can create more lanes, but nothing beats good public transport to take wheels off the road and provide people with some respite.

We who live/travel in this corridor will want to see this to stop air pollution and save carbon footprint. Please start as soon as possible. Thank you.



SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA RAPID TRANSIT DISTRICT

Will submit
Written comments

REQUEST TO PROVIDE PUBLIC COMMENT

INSTRUCTIONS

Please fill out and hand to the Time Keeper. Speakers will be called in the order that request are received.
Each speaker will have three minutes to provide comments.

I would like to provide public comment:

Name: Susanna Chau (please print)

Organization Represented (if any) _____

Date: 8/22/17

No need to speak bring bart to hercules start
construction in 2020 2021 2022



SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA RAPID TRANSIT DISTRICT

before see to proceed before santa clara
service starts
bart beyond richmond station is required needed more

REQUEST TO PROVIDE PUBLIC COMMENT

INSTRUCTIONS

Please fill out and hand to the Time Keeper. Speakers will be called in the order that request are received.
Each speaker will have three minutes to provide comments.

I would like to provide public comment:

Name: It is demanded appreciated and underserved (please print)

Organization Represented (if any) Bring Bart to Hercules

Address: Make the orange tickets available to (optional)

Email: college students like high school (optional)

Phone: ridership will go up (optional)

Date: _____

From: Susanna Chau
Sent: Monday, October 16, 2017 3:28 PM
To: BART To Livermore Outreach
Subject: I support full BART to Livermore

Dear BART:

I am writing to support full BART to Livermore. As a Pleasanton homeowner, every day I see the negative effects of the driving nightmare on 580 and the parking congestion at BART Dublin/Pleasanton station. From the wasted time spent in traffic, to the thousands of pounds of pollutants spewed into the air from our cars, to the inability to take BART because of the lack of parking, nothing less than full BART will provide a smooth transit alternative to our current situation.

I attended the August 22nd BART draft EIR public meeting. While I recognize the cost of full BART, the alternatives are analogous to putting a band-aid on a cut that needs stitches, i.e. might work for a quick minute but are not efficient in the long term. As the bay area economy continues to thrive, we need public transportation options that riders will actually take because they are seamless and efficient. In my personal experience, even a BART transfer at Bayfair station can add 15 minutes to a commute, and if it's two transfers, very few people who own cars will do it. These are current examples of why transfers don't work well, and why anything less than full BART will suffer from low ridership.

I urge you to support full BART to Livermore.

Sincerely,
Susanna Chau

Submitted on Saturday, August 19, 2017

First Name: China

Last Name:

Subject: Livermore BART

Comment: Eagerly waiting for livermore BART project to start as soon as possible.

Submitted on Sunday, August 20, 2017

First Name: Madhavi

Last Name: Chopra

Subject: Re: BART to Livermore Extension Project

Comment:

I've cursorily read the Draft EIR. I'm a Livermore resident and support the extension project. I'd like to have the BART coming to Livermore.

Thanks.

Submitted on Thursday, August 24, 2017

First Name: John
Last Name: Collins

Subject: BART to Livermore

Comment: I support option A of the EIR. Option B would still require that tracks be laid to Livermore, yet the trains would require another transfer causing another possibly long delay in our commute. A number of us already have to transfer to another BART line. Option C & D would also require another transfer, but via a bus that would be prone to traffic delays that would cause people to miss their train adding an additional 15 minutes to their long commutes. Also, when their train is delayed, they would miss their bus adding time to their commute home. As a commuter who takes the Wheels buses to the Dublin BART station, I can attest to the fact that a bus adds much time to my commute both to and from BART. As a previous commuter on commuter railroads in other parts of this country, I am very familiar with the difficulties of using a diesel train to and from electrified trains.

First, the diesel trains would probably not run as often as the BART train causing people long delays if they miss their diesel train or if BART is delayed on their return trip causing them to miss their diesel train to return home. Second, diesel trains are very prone to difficulties in cold and/or rainy weather causing them to be much less reliable than the BART trains. Third, diesel trains are very expensive to run and maintain. Fourth, diesel engineers are very highly paid as they are more highly skilled than those who operate electric trains. Running diesel trains would save BART little money and would possibly be more expensive than electrifying the line and using BART trains. There would be no to little advantage for BART to use diesel trains.

Submitted on Thursday, September 14, 2017

First Name: Brian
Last Name: Cooper

Comment:

Please put me on the BART Livermore extension email contact list.

I strongly support regular (full electric w/ normal BART cars) BART service to Livermore (rather than diesel or other alternative / "eBart" option).

The full BART may be a bit more costly, but it is the most environmentally responsible option. I live fairly close to the proposed Isabel station, and am very ecologically conscious, so this is very important to me. Also the convenience of not having to change trains would make me use it much more often.

Thank you for listening to my input.

Best regards,
Brian Cooper
Livermore, CA

From: MORI COSTANTINO
Sent: Wednesday, August 23, 2017 6:08 AM
To: BART To Livermore Outreach
Subject: My opinion on Livermore BART

Hi,

I am a senior in Berkeley and use the BART and public transportation.

- I support the BART extension to Livermore.
- and, I would request that you consider a bus line to Del Valle Regional Park from BART/Livermore.

Thanks for listening.
-mori costantino

Submitted on Tuesday, August 15, 2017

First Name: Art

Last Name: Cunningham

Subject: Isabel Station Not Supported

Comment: As a long term resident of the area and Livermore (20+), many do not need or want Livermore BART at Isabelle. The Dublin facility serves the purpose for the city and doesn't offer viable service to those from Central Valley. However, the Greenville station connecting to the Ace train could be a viable and sensible solution. Thank you.

Submitted on Saturday, August 19, 2017

First Name: Arun

Last Name: Das

Subject: BART extension to Livermore

Comment:

I am supporting this project as :

1. It will reduce traffic in 580
2. Clean air quality in this area
4. Mass transit is always better for long term

Submitted on Thursday, August 17, 2017

First Name: Nihar
Last Name: Devella

Subject:
Comment: Bart



SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA RAPID TRANSIT DISTRICT

BART to Livermore Project Draft EIR Public Review Meeting Written Comment Form

INSTRUCTIONS

If you wish to submit written comments on the BART to Livermore Draft Environmental Impact Report, you may do so on this sheet (although use of this form is not required). Please submit written comments at the Comment Table during the public review meeting or mail to the BART to Livermore Extension Project, 300 Lakeside Dr., 21st Floor, Oakland, CA 94612 or email: barttolivermore@bart.gov. You may also comment via the website www.bart.gov/livermore. **Deadline for receipt of comments is 5:00pm, October 16, 2017.**

Write your comments regarding the Draft EIR here. Use the back of the sheet of additional pages if necessary.

Name: NIHAR DEVELLA (please print)

Organization Represented (if any) _____

Date: 08/22/2017

Comments: please approve BART extension to livermore.

From: Patrick Emmert
Sent: Monday, July 31, 2017 11:46 PM
To: BART To Livermore Outreach
Subject: An Incomprehensible Waste of Money

The BART I ride is literally falling apart at the seams while providing a dirty, unpleasant and almost always late ride so it is beyond comprehension that this project has gone as far as it has.

Nobody extends a custom built, heavy rail metro system miles into low density suburbs like BART has done already and you shouldn't compound the problem by doing more. The "Blue"line is already the worst performing so why is BART compounding on the mistake?

The real kicker is this project doesn't even go to Livermore but is another middle of the freeway disaster that contradicts BART's own planning guidelines.

If there is a need to connect to ACE then extend THAT to the Dublin BART station - not the other way around.

DMU option or nothing!

Patrick Emmert
Oakland

Sent from my iPad

From: PRE
Sent: Monday, August 28, 2017 8:22 PM
To: BART To Livermore Outreach
Subject: BART to Freeway Median Near Livermore

No BART to a freeway median three miles outside of town.

The fact that this wasteful idea has made it this far is unbelievable. Nobody in their right mind builds a heavy metro line miles and miles into low-density suburbia and they certainly don't keep building stations in freeway medians.

Extend the ACE Train to BART or build a DMU extension but DON'T BUILD ANY MORE BART.

Patrick Emmert
Oakland

Sent from my iPhone

Submitted on Wednesday, August 23, 2017

First Name: Farzdeep

Last Name:

Subject:

Comment: We really need Bart to livermore asap.

From: Patricia Gardner
Sent: Friday, August 25, 2017 9:46 AM
To: BART To Livermore Outreach
Subject: BART to Livermore - a good thing

Hello,

I fully support a BART extension to Livermore. The current traffic situation on 580 is horrendous and BART would help alleviate a large amount of traffic.

Sincerely,

Patricia Gardner



SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA RAPID TRANSIT DISTRICT

BART to Livermore Project Draft EIR Public Review Meeting Written Comment Form

INSTRUCTIONS

If you wish to submit written comments on the BART to Livermore Draft Environmental Impact Report, you may do so on this sheet (although use of this form is not required). Please submit written comments at the Comment Table during the public review meeting or mail to the BART to Livermore Extension Project, 300 Lakeside Dr., 21st Floor, Oakland, CA 94612 or email: barttolivermore@bart.gov. You may also comment via the website www.bart.gov/livermore. Deadline for receipt of comments is 5:00pm, October 16, 2017.

Write your comments regarding the Draft EIR here. Use the back of the sheet of additional pages if necessary.

Name: Kumar Gansav (please print)

Organization Represented (if any) _____

Date: 08/22/2017

Comments:

Please bring the bart to community, It help to reduce the traffic on 580. It should also have connectivity to ACE so that people from Tracy & Mountain house can utilize it.

Submitted on Monday, August 28, 2017

First Name: Khanbahadur

Last Name: Ghalib

Subject: BART to Livermore

Comment: I read the DEIR and hoping BART approves the extension to Livermore.
I work in SFO and hence will certainly ride the train for sure.

From: Jon Gibbs
Sent: Wednesday, August 23, 2017 8:13 PM
To: BART To Livermore Outreach
Subject: Comments on Livermore Bart Proposal

To Whom it May Concern,

I am leading a startup company based in Livermore and wanted to comment on the record about the latest Livermore Bart proposals but could not attend yesterday's meeting.

My comments for the record are below :

I grew up in a similar situation in a suburb of the Washington. DC metropolitan area. Specifically, the subway system there called "The Metro" built a station in my town Springfield, VA (a small DC suburb) which extended the end of the subway line. It is a similar situation to what is currently being proposed for Livermore. The effects I observed as in Springfield after the "Franconia/Springfield" station was built were the following:

- a) traffic went down
- b) housing values went up (nearly 2x)
- c) retail businesses grew. The local mall was also renovated and reopened with more stores.

For businesses like mine that employ white collar workers, it will be easier to compete for talent Bart stop, especially since many of our employees are priced out of the local housing market in the Tri-Valley. The Bart will give people more flexibility to live anywhere and commute to Livermore for work without the need for a car and ultimately helps make our business more sustainable.

For other businesses such as retail, I have observed that many restaurants in Livermore have openings for labor--I speculate that this is true for the same reasons. A Bart station would likely make local businesses more attractive for retail workers that don't live in Livermore.

I also think alleviating traffic and fatal accidents on the 580 will be an important effect to consider. I typically see 1 fatal accident per week related to the 580 on the Livermore patch. Reducing traffic should help make things safer. It also important considering the rate of housing development in the tri-valley area. In Springfield, traffic on a main highway (395/495) and main artery connecting residential areas to the highway (Fairfax County Parkway) improved after the station was built. Traffic on 395 is still bad, but many people started commuting to the subway station instead of driving the entire length of the highway. In this situation, people coming from Tracy would like avoid the 580 thereby reducing traffic.

--

Jonathan Gibbs

From: Lena
Sent: Tuesday, August 01, 2017 8:17 AM
To: BART To Livermore Outreach
Subject: Bart to Livermore

By far, the best alternative for the people of Livermore would be the proposal for full BART service along 580. Livermore's housing is increasing and so is traffic congestion along 580, despite the recent improvements to the freeway. Bus service gets bogged down in traffic and is not a useful long-term solution. Livermore has been contributing financially to the BART extension for more than 30 years. In that time, BART has extended to other areas, including San Jose, by-passing Livermore. The people of Livermore need and deserve full BART service and it should be a high priority.

Lena Gubiotti

From: Paul Gubiotti
Sent: Tuesday, August 01, 2017 8:55 AM
To: BART To Livermore Outreach
Subject: Bart to Livermore

Of the proposed alternatives, the one that would best serve the Livermore community is to continue the BART along I-580 to Isabel Avenue, with parking for commuters at the station and an Express Bus from the downtown transit center directly to the station. This would serve two purposes, parking at the station would take more commuters from the Central Valley off I-580 and the Express Bus would give local residents and commuters coming in from the Central Valley on ACE a convenient way to get to BART without adding to parking congestion at the station.

Despite the improvements that were made to I-580, the freeway is constantly congested as a result of housing boom in Livermore and further East. The other alternatives proposed would do little or nothing to improve the situation.

Taxpayers in Livermore have been contributing to BART since its inception with the promise of service. Any alternative other than full BART service to Livermore would be a failure of BART to meet its obligations.

Paul Gubiotti

Submitted on Monday, August 28, 2017

First Name: Mohammad

Last Name: Gulrez

Subject: BART to Livermore Extension

Comment:

Hi

As a resident of Livermore who commutes to San Francisco for work everyday, I see huge benefits of having full BART service to Livermore. I know there are many residents in our community who have similar commutes and would be benefited with it.

Submitted on Monday, September 11, 2017

First Name: gurushankar

Last Name:

Subject:

Comment:

Dear Sir/Madam,

We need Bart extension to Livermore.

thank you

Gurushankar Govindarajan

From: Joshua Hammons
Sent: Sunday, August 27, 2017 11:15 AM
To: BART To Livermore Outreach
Subject: Bart to Livermore Extension Project

Hello,

My name is Josh Hammons. I currently live in Livermore, CA and received a notice of availability for the draft environmental impact report by mail. I do not own a car and use my bicycle, the bus and bart as my primary means of transportation. It is, of course, to my personal benefit to have a bart station in livermore because this provides me with access to SFO and OAK airports in a reasonable time, as well as day trips to San Fransisco and Oakland. Currently, the bus between livermore and the Dublin station is too long. The location that was chosen seems well thought through and will provide easy access to the college and downtown livermore by bus or bicycle.

I have spoken to people that oppose this project and their main concern is that it will bring in crime. While I'm sure this is possible to a small degree, i am also sure that stopping the project will not stop the crime from happening either. Ultimately, I think this will have a positive impact on the economy in livermore and will provide people with a better alternative to driving in traffic on the I580.

Thank you,
Josh

Submitted on Saturday, August 19, 2017

First Name: Harsha

Last Name:

Subject:

Comment: It will be great if livermore is connected by Bart.

Submitted on Monday, August 28, 2017

First Name: Barbara

Last Name: Herron

Subject: Comment on Bart to Livermore

Comment:

Livermore's been paying for BART since the beginning, and needs to be a priority, otherwise give us our money back. We should have full Bart in Livermore before any San Jose stations.

Traffic on 580 is a mess, and unless we get full Bart, people will continue to use 580 instead of taking another mechanism at Isobel, then needing to transfer when they get to Pleasanton.

It seems much more efficient to make the project go all the way to Greenville instead of stopping at Isobel. Going to Greenville will have the biggest impact on 580 traffic.

Get this thing going ASAP please.



SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA RAPID TRANSIT DISTRICT

*BART to Livermore Project Draft EIR Public Review Meeting Written Comment Form*INSTRUCTIONS

If you wish to submit written comments on the BART to Livermore Draft Environmental Impact Report, you may do so on this sheet (although use of this form is not required). Please submit written comments at the Comment Table during the public review meeting or mail to the BART to Livermore Extension Project, 300 Lakeside Dr., 21st Floor, Oakland, CA 94612 or email: barttolivermore@bart.gov. You may also comment via the website www.bart.gov/livermore. Deadline for receipt of comments is 5:00pm, October 16, 2017.

Write your comments regarding the Draft EIR here. Use the back of the sheet of additional pages if necessary.

Name: Marcy Hollman + David Hollman (please print)

Date: 8/22/17

Comments:

As residents of Livermore, we want full BART extension. Full BART will improve quality of life, bring more business to Livermore, and increase property values. We support ~~the~~ additional taxes, ^{if} ~~that would be~~ necessary to fund the new construction + maintenance.

Submitted on Saturday, July 22, 2017

First Name: Roxanne

Last Name: Huguet

Subject: Livermore Bart

Comment: For Livermore citizens to be paying taxes to BART since 1974 and having absolutely nothing to show for it is a crime. Many people who voted in favor for Bart and paid taxes have died before seeing it come to their city. Two stations in Pleasanton, cities who have not paid for BART who have trains show how unfair BART is to tax payers. I am 57 and must wait until I am 67 before Bart builds a few miles of tracks. The frustration with BART is beyond belief. I wrote something similar 10 years ago. Must be nice to be San Jose or the SFO airport and have priority over long time tax payers for BART. Hope I won't be writing the same thing in ten years! Just have to vent so you know real people exist who pay your salary but get nothing in return.



SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA RAPID TRANSIT DISTRICT

BART to Livermore Project Draft EIR Public Review Meeting Written Comment Form

INSTRUCTIONS

If you wish to submit written comments on the BART to Livermore Draft Environmental Impact Report, you may do so on this sheet (although use of this form is not required). Please submit written comments at the Comment Table during the public review meeting or mail to the BART to Livermore Extension Project, 300 Lakeside Dr., 21st Floor, Oakland, CA 94612 or email: barttolivermore@bart.gov. You may also comment via the website www.bart.gov/livermore. Deadline for receipt of comments is 5:00pm, October 16, 2017.

Write your comments regarding the Draft EIR here. Use the back of the sheet of additional pages if necessary.

Name: Bhanu Prakash JIRKA (please print)

Organization Represented (if any) _____

Date: 08/22/2017

Comments:

please consider our request and please approve the bart line. so it will definitely improve the city public transportation.

Submitted on Sunday, July 30, 2017

First Name: Carol

Last Name: Joyal

Subject: BART expansion

Comment: We are looking forward to the expansion. It is long overdue. 580 is so overcrowded, Highway 84 needs relief too.

Submitted on Tuesday, August 22, 2017

First Name: Preetha

Last Name: Justus helan

Subject:

Comment: I really want Bart to extend up to Livermore.

Submitted on Saturday, August 19, 2017

First Name: Kristine

Last Name: Kansa

Subject:

Comment: We want full BART service to Livermore. We have paid for this service since before my husband and I moved to Livermore in 1980. A bus service is unacceptable. That is not what we paid for.



SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA RAPID TRANSIT DISTRICT

REQUEST TO PROVIDE PUBLIC COMMENT

INSTRUCTIONS

Please fill out and hand to the Time Keeper. Speakers will be called in the order that request are received.
Each speaker will have three minutes to provide comments.

I would like to provide public comment:

Name: Jeff Kaskey (please print)

Will not speak public so as you work on this area bring bart to hercules needed more than Livermore
when your board decides in spring 2018 to extend or not you do need to extend 2 stations: West Livermore + greenville on freeway as shown in picture for my family that lives by ace vasco station and
BART
SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA RAPID TRANSIT DISTRICT
REQUEST TO PROVIDE PUBLIC COMMENT
INSTRUCTIONS
Please fill out and hand to the Time Keeper. Speakers will be called in the order that request are received.
Each speaker will have three minutes to provide comments.

I would like to provide public comment:
Names: BART to Hercules (please print)
Organization Represented (if any): BART to Hercules
Address: Bring bart to hercules have family there too library (optional)
Email: bart is required past richmond station to hercules (optional)
Phone: really nice underground that is not dream (optional)
Date: it will one day become a reality bart to hercules has supported paid more than Livermore or santa clara bring bart to hercules
(other side)

Start to hercules start construction 2020 2021 before 2022 before ace to Merced and same goals benefits worked on antioch extension bring bart to hercules
Addressed to all bart board



SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA RAPID TRANSIT DISTRICT

BART to Livermore Project Draft EIR Public Review Meeting Written Comment Form

INSTRUCTIONS

If you wish to submit written comments on the BART to Livermore Draft Environmental Impact Report, you may do so on this sheet (although use of this form is not required). Please submit written comments at the Comment Table during the public review meeting or mail to the BART to Livermore Extension Project, 300 Lakeside Dr., 21st Floor, Oakland, CA 94612 or email: barttolivermore@bart.gov. You may also comment via the website www.bart.gov/livermore. Deadline for receipt of comments is 5:00pm, October 16, 2017.

Write your comments regarding the Draft EIR here. Use the back of the sheet of additional pages if necessary.

Name: RAMA KATARAM (please print)

Organization Represented (if any)

Date: 08/22/2017

Comments:

I'd strongly support the effort to bring BART close to Livermore. I'd also add that ~~was~~ this would greatly improve the quality of life for our family.

From: Keith
Sent: Wednesday, August 09, 2017 1:14 PM
To: BART To Livermore Outreach
Subject: Full BART to Livermore

Hi,

As a Livermore resident, I would like to voice my strong support for full BART to Livermore. This connection would improve BART access for local commuters, take cars off of 580, and improve access to Livermore wine country from all parts of the BART system.

I was happy to vote for measure BB, but I will never vote for another BART funding measure if the Livermore extension is not delivered.

Thanks,
Keith

From: manoj Kumar
Sent: Monday, October 16, 2017 1:46 PM
To: BART To Livermore Outreach
Subject: Support Full Bart

Categories: Blue category

Submitted on Sunday, August 20, 2017

First Name: Anil Kumar

Last Name: Vadakapuram

Subject: Bart to Livermore

Comment: We would like Bart to come for Livermore

Submitted on Thursday, August 17, 2017

First Name: Michael

Last Name: Kundert

Subject: Bart to livermore

Comment: I've been waiting for 30 years and paying taxes for 30 years to get Bart to Livermore. It's time to please give us our money back or actually build it. Thanks. This should be against the law to treat the people of Livermore, the way Bart has treated them.

Submitted on Tuesday, August 22, 2017

First Name: Lavanya

Last Name:

Subject:

Comment: I support full bart to livermore which reduces the traffic on 580 and eases the commute in bay area.

From:
Sent: Wednesday, August 09, 2017 7:09 PM
To: BART To Livermore Outreach
Subject: Hell no

There is no way Bart is a good idea coming to Livermore. Keep it in Pleasanton. I'll drive or take a taxi. But if it does come here I guess it will be fun f@?&ing up the low life that have taken over Bart as you are painfully aware. Keep Livermore Pure!!!

Sent from my iPhone

Submitted on Wednesday, August 9, 2017

First Name: Lani

Last Name: Longshore

Subject: BART to Livermore

Comment: Livermore wants and expects full BART service to this area, with the potential to extend service from Isabel to Greenville Road and beyond. Please do not abandon BART's promises for the last 40 years for full service.



SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA RAPID TRANSIT DISTRICT

BART to Livermore Project Draft EIR Public Review Meeting Written Comment Form

INSTRUCTIONS

If you wish to submit written comments on the BART to Livermore Draft Environmental Impact Report, you may do so on this sheet (although use of this form is not required). Please submit written comments at the Comment Table during the public review meeting or mail to the BART to Livermore Extension Project, 300 Lakeside Dr., 21st Floor, Oakland, CA 94612 or email: barttolivermore@bart.gov. You may also comment via the website www.bart.gov/livermore. Deadline for receipt of comments is 5:00pm, October 16, 2017.

Write your comments regarding the Draft EIR here. Use the back of the sheet of additional pages if necessary.

Name: DHANRAJ MANGHANI (please print)

Organization Represented (if any) _____

Date: 22-08-2017

Comments: WE WANT FULL BART SERVICES
IN LIVERMORE DOWNTOWN



SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA RAPID TRANSIT DISTRICT

BART to Livermore Project Draft EIR Public Review Meeting Written Comment Form

INSTRUCTIONS

If you wish to submit written comments on the BART to Livermore Draft Environmental Impact Report, you may do so on this sheet (although use of this form is not required). Please submit written comments at the Comment Table during the public review meeting or mail to the BART to Livermore Extension Project, 300 Lakeside Dr., 21st Floor, Oakland, CA 94612 or email: barttolivermore@bart.gov. You may also comment via the website www.bart.gov/livermore. Deadline for receipt of comments is 5:00pm, October 16, 2017.

Write your comments regarding the Draft EIR here. Use the back of the sheet of additional pages if necessary.

Name: PANKAJ MANGHMAMI (please print)

Organization Represented (if any) _____

Date: 08/22/2017

Comments:

We want full ~~Bart~~ Bart service in Livermore

From: Manoj V
Sent: Friday, July 28, 2017 5:12 PM
To: BART To Livermore Outreach
Subject: Livermore Bart DEIR

Hi Team,

I reviewed the Livermore BART DEIR and all the Volumes and contents are very well draft and hope you execute the same .

I am very happy and Welcome this Livermore BART project and wish all the success

Thanks
Manoj

Submitted on Thursday, August 24, 2017

First Name: Marcie

Last Name:

Subject: Bart extension to Livermore

Comment:

Here is my comment. It can be used as an example or tweaked to create a better message.

....

I support FULL BART to Livermore. I do NOT support an alternative BART services to Livermore.

BART to Livermore should start at Isabel Rd. without the depot and with FULL BART to Greenville with the depot. An extension to Greenville will have the biggest impact on reducing traffic and greenhouse gas in the Bay Area and beyond. A BART Station at Greenville can be connected to the Altamont Corridor Express (ACE) Train reducing the number of cars on the freeway significantly.

The depot at Isabel Rd adds an unneeded expense to the project and causes delay of a station that is almost 50 years in the making. The depot also creates an 'end of line' feel to the station that would make it hard to expand the system to Greenville.



SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA RAPID TRANSIT DISTRICT

BART to Livermore Project Draft EIR Public Review Meeting Written Comment Form

INSTRUCTIONS

If you wish to submit written comments on the BART to Livermore Draft Environmental Impact Report, you may do so on this sheet (although use of this form is not required). Please submit written comments at the Comment Table during the public review meeting or mail to the BART to Livermore Extension Project, 300 Lakeside Dr., 21st Floor, Oakland, CA 94612 or email: barttolivermore@bart.gov. You may also comment via the website www.bart.gov/livermore. Deadline for receipt of comments is 5:00pm, October 16, 2017.

Write your comments regarding the Draft EIR here. Use the back of the sheet of additional pages if necessary.

Name: Kristi Marlean (please print)

Organization Represented (if any) _____

Date: 8/22/17

Comments:

~~_____~~
I am in favor of the option that makes it most likely that full BART will connect to ACE in the future. If that is full BART to Isabel now, great. If it's saving money now to go further down the line further in the future, great. Get Central Valley cars off the road by making public transportation easy and efficient.

Submitted on Wednesday, September 6, 2017

First Name: Sally

Last Name: Marone

Subject: BART Extension to Livermore and Beyond

Comment: The people of Livermore have been paying into the BART system for 40 plus years and this line and extension beyond Livermore to connect with the ACE line is critical for the transportation of the East Bay and the Central Valley. We should be given a station with parking at least to Isabell and then soon there after to connect to ACE.

Submitted on Tuesday, August 1, 2017

First Name: Matt

Last Name:

Subject:

Comment: I work for Gillig, who recently moved their transit bus manufacturing facility from Hayward to Livermore, close to the Isabel interchange. I live in San Lorenzo, so my commute changed from a short 4.5 mile bike ride to 20 miles of driving or bike/Bart. I'm still trying to bike to work 2-4 days a week, but it's not very convenient as it is a 6 mile bike ride from the Dublin Bart station to Gillig (via Iron Horse & Arroyo Mocho).

The 14 bus doesn't run early enough in the morning for my 6 am start time and the bus stop for the afternoon trip home is 3/4 mile away on the other side of Isabel. I have a few other colleagues who were trying to commute from SF and Oakland via Bart, but after a few times, the 6 miles bike ride wore them out, especially in the afternoon when the weather is inhospitable in the summer. My colleague who lives in Hayward doesn't have a car, so he makes the trek everyday, logging nearly 100 miles of biking a week. So for the employees at Gillig, this Bart extension can't come soon enough! We've lost several engineers in my department since the company moved to Livermore. If the area was made more accessible with improved infrastructure, then we could attract more talent.

Submitted on Wednesday, October 4, 2017

First Name: Ramyata
Last Name: Mehrotra

Subject: Bart Extension - Livermore

Comment: When do we plan to start building this extension? This would be a huge step towards giving Livermore what it wants and helping it grow.

From: Claire Moran
Sent: Wednesday, August 09, 2017 8:05 PM
To: BART To Livermore Outreach
Subject: BART to Livermore

To Whom it May Concern:

I want full BART to Livermore with option for an extension to the Greenville ACE train) for the following reasons:

- It will take 20,000 cars off the road every day with 7400 weekday riders by 2025 and 13,400 by 2040. An increase ridership is possible with a better ACE connection than what is proposed with the current DEIR.
- It would reduce congestion: Less time on the road means people have more time with family and at work. I used to work in San Francisco, and the worst part of my commute was driving to the BART station and back.
- It would reduce pollution: Fewer cars means better air. Estimated reduction of 113,000 miles traveled in 2025 and 295,000 in 2040.
- It would reduce the travel time for trucks delivering goods and is thus would be better for commerce.

Please bring BART to Livermore. We have paid for this for years, and it would be unfair to provide us with a second-rate solution that involves a transfer.

Sincerely,

Claire Moran, resident of Livermore since 1990

Submitted on Wednesday, August 16, 2017

First Name: Karl
Last Name: Mueller

Subject:
Comment: I support the Full Bart to Livermore plan.

Submitted on Tuesday, August 22, 2017

First Name: Catherine

Last Name: Myers

Subject: Bart2Livermore

Comment: I am very much in favor of the Bart2Livermore project. We need more public transportation for the 580 corridor. People love to use it. It is a win win all around. There can even be shuttles from Bart to the wineries and to the SF Outlets. Perf! Would make Livermore a more clear reason to move out here and commute to here and there. Hooking up to the Ace train as well...

Submitted on Sunday, August 20, 2017

First Name: Tilak
Last Name: Mysore

Subject: In Favor of BART Extension to Livermore
Comment: In Favor of BART Extension to Livermore

Submitted on Wednesday, August 9, 2017

First Name: Neerupa

Last Name:

Subject: Livermore Bart

Comment: Please extend bart to Livermore. Many people travel to city everyday for work and extending Bart to Livermore will make our commute easy and better. Less wait time and commute time will reduce stress and will be helping us all individuals.

Submitted on Saturday, August 19, 2017

:

First Name: Sundar

Last Name: OK

Subject:

Comment: It will be really helpful if the Bart is extended up to Greenville Rd, Livermore. Lost of people gets benefited with this.

Submitted on Tuesday, August 22, 2017

First Name: Dinesh

Last Name: Padmanaban

Subject: Livermore Bart - +1

Comment:

I am looking forward for this project. Being a livermore resident, I feel this ease the commute for me to reach san francisco.

Submitted on Saturday, August 19, 2017

First Name: Praveen

Last Name: Patil

Subject: I support the proposal

Comment: Hello - I'm a resident of livermore & I fully support the Bart proposal. It will definitely cut down the traffic on 580.

Submitted on Tuesday, August 22, 2017

First Name: Leon

Last Name: Pereira

Subject: We need BART extension in Livermore

Comment:

We need Bart to be extended to Livermore Commuting would be so much easier if all this traffic on 580 could be reduced

From: Mark Petty
Sent: Thursday, August 03, 2017 1:58 PM
To: BART To Livermore Outreach
Subject: Draft EIR Maintenance & Storage Facility Location

Dear Mam or Sir,

I am a Livermore resident concerned about the location of the Maintenance and Storage Facility and track servicing Las Positas College shown in the Draft EIR. I want BART to stay within the I-580 median or prefer the no build alternative.

Thanks, Mark Petty

Submitted on Tuesday, August 22, 2017

First Name: Pradeep

Last Name:

Subject:

Comment: I support full bart to livermore,which reduces the 580 traffic and eases the commute.

Submitted on Saturday, August 19, 2017

First Name: Naga Prakash

Last Name: Munagapati

Subject:

Comment: I support bart to livermore

Submitted on Wednesday, August 23, 2017

First Name: Saravanan

Last Name: Ramesh

Subject: Supporting Bart

Comment: Supporting Bart

Submitted on Friday, October 13, 2017

First Name: Rebbaz

Last Name: Royee

Subject: Livermore Extension

Comment: I would really love to see this become a reality. I remember going on a field trip in the 4th grade using BART. We had to bus out to Dublin but we were told Bart would come to Livermore. I would really love to see this happen as I am currently looking for affordable housing and Livermore is one of the last few places that can reach SF within an hour (if Bart were to reach it). Please please!!!

From: Susan Schmidt
Sent: Wednesday, August 23, 2017 10:20 AM
To: BART To Livermore Outreach
Subject: Bart Extension to Livermore

I am not able to attend tonight's meeting but I am open to any alternatives as long as they run along Bart tracks and if possible it would make the most sense to extend that all the way to Vasco road where they already have the housing built and it could connect to the ACE train! I am concerned about the amount of housing that is required to be built around the Isabel/84 location as the traffic has already gotten very heavy from all the new warehouse buildings and people cutting across from 680 to 580! I would think the goal is to stop all the traffic from the Central Valley coming through our city streets and even sitting on the freeway with their cars running and creating pollution here in Livermore. I hope this will be considered as we have waited way too long for this!! In the meantime places like Antioch and San Jose already are connected and we have been paying into this for a long long time!!

Thanks,
Susan Schmidt

Submitted on Monday, August 21, 2017

First Name: Sam

Last Name: Shah

Subject: BART Livermore Extension Project

Comment:

I would like to request to expedite extension project to begin tomorrow without fail. It is important to facilitate residents of Livermore to get access to BART. I would suggest to engage Global low cost companies rail companies to manage lower cost to expand to Vasco Road without delaying even another month. Thank you for allowing me to express my opinion.

Sam Shah

Submitted on Tuesday, August 22, 2017

First Name: Runa

Last Name: Shamsuddin

Subject: IN favor of BART

Comment: we are strongly in favor of BART to livermore

Submitted on Sunday, August 20, 2017

First Name: Shilpa

Last Name:

Subject:

Comment: Please connect Bart to the Livermore.

Submitted on Thursday, August 17, 2017

First Name: Iris
Last Name: Shiver

Subject: Bart to Livermore

Comment: Only full bart extension from Pleasanton to Livermore is acceptable.

No other options if you want to address congestion on 580. Do not consider any other options. BART should have wrapped the entire Bay years ago when this first started instead of the patch work system that exist today.

Submitted on Thursday, August 17, 2017

First Name: Venkata

Last Name: Sidra

Subject: Bart to Livermore Extension project

Comment:

I strongly support BART to Livermore until Greenville exit.
Please help this project to be approved and implement ASAP.
Also provide us all future info regarding this project.



SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA RAPID TRANSIT DISTRICT

BART to Livermore Project Draft EIR Public Review Meeting Written Comment Form

INSTRUCTIONS

If you wish to submit written comments on the BART to Livermore Draft Environmental Impact Report, you may do so on this sheet (although use of this form is not required). Please submit written comments at the Comment Table during the public review meeting or mail to the BART to Livermore Extension Project, 300 Lakeside Dr., 21st Floor, Oakland, CA 94612 or email: barttolivermore@bart.gov. You may also comment via the website www.bart.gov/livermore. Deadline for receipt of comments is 5:00pm, October 16, 2017.

Write your comments regarding the Draft EIR here. Use the back of the sheet of additional pages if necessary.

Name: VENKATA S SIDDA (please print)

Organization Represented (if any) _____

Date: 8/22/2017

Comments:

Full Bart to Livermore until Vasco Rd is recommended as it connects ACE and reduces pollution and stays green around Livermore city.

Submitted on Wednesday, August 23, 2017

First Name: Sonal

Last Name:

Subject: Livermore Extension project

Comment:

It will be very convenient for the Livermore residents to commute to SF on daily basis. It will reduce I580 traffic in general.

I support BART extension till Livermore

From: Lori Souza
Sent: Friday, August 18, 2017 1:54 PM
To: BART To Livermore Outreach
Subject: Please extend BART to Livermore

To Whom it May Concern,

I've been a resident in Livermore since 1979, and before that a resident of Fremont. I've been a resident of Alameda County my entire life, and therefore have been paying taxes, dutifully, for a very long time. There has been the promise of a BART extension to Livermore for many decades. It's never been more urgent to fulfill this promise than right now.

To reduce automobile traffic in the region and to provide affordable travel alternatives to the citizens of Alameda County, especially those in the Tri-Valley, it is imperative that this BART extension be approved. I advocate for an extension to the ACE train depot at Vasco road, but also endorse the extension to Isabel Ave in the near term.

Please approve the Conventional BART extension to Livermore, promptly.

Lori Souza
Livermore Resident

Submitted on Monday, August 21, 2017

First Name: Asa

Last Name: Strout

Subject: Livermore Extension

Comment:

I support FULL BART to Livermore. I do NOT support an alternative BART services to Livermore.

BART to Livermore should start at Isabel Rd. without the depot and with FULL BART to Greenville with the depot. An extension to Greenville will have the biggest impact on reducing traffic and greenhouse gas in the Bay Area and beyond. A BART Station at Greenville can be connected to the Altamont Corridor Express (ACE) Train reducing the number of cars on the freeway significantly.

The depot at Isabel Rd adds an unneeded expense to the project and causes delay of a station that is almost 50 years in the making. The depot also creates an 'end of line' feel to the station that would make it hard to expand the system to Greenville.

Submitted on Wednesday, August 16, 2017

First Name: Katie
Last Name: Strout

Subject:

Comment: I want bart to extend to Livermore, full service, not an alternative shuttle or bus system. This is something we've been paying for decades and we deserve the train service we need.

Submitted on Wednesday, August 9, 2017

First Name: Taylor

Last Name:

Subject: BART to Livermore DEIR

Comment: While there is a clear need to connect Bart with Livermore and ACE it is obvious that the rail alternatives for this project are not the right choice. Conventional Bart and EMU/DMU are way to expensive and environmentally dangerous. The benefits are clearly outweighed by the downfalls. The Express Bus or Enhanced Bus alternatives provide a much better return on investment in both the short term and long term. BART must NOT PROCEED with the rail alternatives. These will take away much needed transportation funding which could be better used elsewhere, the extension would also significantly add to BART O&M costs. The bus alternatives are the right choice for this project.



SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA RAPID TRANSIT DISTRICT

BART to Livermore Project Draft EIR Public Review Meeting Written Comment Form

INSTRUCTIONS

If you wish to submit written comments on the BART to Livermore Draft Environmental Impact Report, you may do so on this sheet (although use of this form is not required). Please submit written comments at the Comment Table during the public review meeting or mail to the BART to Livermore Extension Project, 300 Lakeside Dr., 21st Floor, Oakland, CA 94612 or email: barttolivermore@bart.gov. You may also comment via the website www.bart.gov/livermore. Deadline for receipt of comments is 5:00pm, October 16, 2017.

Write your comments regarding the Draft EIR here. Use the back of the sheet of additional pages if necessary.

Name: RAJESH TEDLA (please print)

Organization Represented (if any) _____

Date: 8/22/2017

Comments:

I totally support bringing BART to Livermore. In fact, it would be really helpful for the commuters from Livermore, Mountain House & Tracy if we extend BART to Livermore ACE Station.
Please extend this as much as you can and this is a great initiative!!



SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA RAPID TRANSIT DISTRICT

*BART to Livermore Project Draft EIR Public Review Meeting Written Comment Form*INSTRUCTIONS

If you wish to submit written comments on the BART to Livermore Draft Environmental Impact Report, you may do so on this sheet (although use of this form is not required). Please submit written comments at the Comment Table during the public review meeting or mail to the BART to Livermore Extension Project, 300 Lakeside Dr., 21st Floor, Oakland, CA 94612 or email: barttolivermore@bart.gov. You may also comment via the website www.bart.gov/livermore. **Deadline for receipt of comments is 5:00pm, October 16, 2017.**

Write your comments regarding the Draft EIR here. Use the back of the sheet of additional pages if necessary.

Name: Greg Thompson (please print)

Date: August 27, 2017

Comments:

I am a strong supporter of extending conventional BART to Isabel and on to Vasco Road to connect up with the ACE train.

I am also a Mentor at the I-gate Innovation Club and to properly support Startups in the Livermore area we need a conventional BART station at least at Isabel in order for high tech workers who live in the greater bay area to reach and work in Livermore, as well as high tech workers working in Livermore to conveniently travel elsewhere in the bay area, especially San Francisco where the highest concentration of high tech partners and related companies and conferences are located. We in fact have already lost Startups from I-gate who had to move elsewhere in order to provide better BART and transportation options. Also an extension connection to ACE train effectively extends access to the central valley and makes the extension a lot more valuable.

Submitted on Friday, August 18, 2017

First Name: Kevin

Last Name: Tregear

Subject: BART2Livermore

Comment: I live in Livermore and commute to SF 5 days a week and have been looking forward to BART coming out to Livermore forever. Please make it happen this time. One stop at Isabel and another at Greenville with loads of parking at both. And full 10-car trains throughout morning and evening rush hours. And increase the frequency to 5 or 6 per hour during rush hours for this route.

Submitted on Wednesday, August 9, 2017

First Name: Lisa

Last Name: Tromovitch

Subject: AB 758 & Extension to Livermore

Comment: As a resident of Livermore, and founder of a 15 year local old non-profit, I am strongly in favor of FULL BART trains to Livermore, and the future development of connection to ACE and the Valley. Easy connection to theaters and meetings in the East Bay and SF are important to me and the company. 20 minute waits for transfers at night already make BART unusable for evening Livermore- Berkeley trips. Our Livermore-based company needs BART accessibility in order to grow. (Half of our customers have been coming from outside the Tri-Valley). And "grow" means to a point where we are sustainable. Multiple transfers to get from SF/East Bay to our place of business will kill the opportunity for our customers to use BART.

From: Heather Truro
Sent: Monday, August 28, 2017 3:25 PM
To: BART To Livermore Outreach
Subject: Please register my comment in the formal record regarding Bart to Livermore

I would like to register my comment for the record:

I have been a Bay Area resident my entire life and rode Bart in 1970 when it was first introduced. In the original plans Bart was ALWAYS intended to go to Livermore.

Even though I am a Pleasanton resident and do not serve to gain directly from the extension, I think the original plan should be respected and Livermore should be the end of the line rather than Pleasanton.

I also believe that it should be down Hwy. 580 as proposed to avoid extra costs and impact on the environment which would occur should the path deviate.

I think other alternatives to extend service via bus etc. makes no sense. You really think someone is going to drive from Tracy to Livermore, get on a bus to go a few miles then get off and get on to Bart to get to SF etc? No.

Honor the commitment that was made in the early vision. Do what makes the most sense with this incredibly seriously impacted freeway corridor on 580 between Pleasanton & Livermore.

Thank you,
Heather Truro

Submitted on Tuesday, August 22, 2017

First Name: Bidun

Last Name: Tummala

Subject: Strong 'Yes' for bart to livermore

Comment: I face traffic and parking issue to ride to sfo everyday

Submitted on Monday, August 28, 2017

First Name: janis

Last Name: turner

Subject: BART to Livermore

Comment: I recommend the BART extension to Isabel Ave/I580 with bus service to ACE , downtown Livermore, LLNL, Las Positas College



SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA RAPID TRANSIT DISTRICT

*BART to Livermore Project Draft EIR Public Review Meeting Written Comment Form*INSTRUCTIONS

If you wish to submit written comments on the BART to Livermore Draft Environmental Impact Report, you may do so on this sheet (although use of this form is not required). Please submit written comments at the Comment Table during the public review meeting or mail to the BART to Livermore Extension Project, 300 Lakeside Dr., 21st Floor, Oakland, CA 94612 or email: barttolivermore@bart.gov. You may also comment via the website www.bart.gov/livermore. Deadline for receipt of comments is 5:00pm, October 16, 2017.

Write your comments regarding the Draft EIR here. Use the back of the sheet of additional pages if necessary.

Name: Janis Turner (please print)

Date: 8/28/17

Comments:

✓ recommend the BART extension to Isabel Ave/I580 with bus service to ACE, downtown Livermore LLNL, + San Positas college. Provide adequate parking at Isabel

Submitted on Monday, September 11, 2017

First Name: Thomas

Last Name: Vargas

Subject: BART to Livermore EIR

Comment: BART should select the "Full BART" alternative to Livermore. It will have the largest ridership of the alternatives and will fulfill the long time promise to the residents of Livermore who have paid millions to support the system since it's opening. The full BART extension will also allow for a much needed and more cost effective maintenance station for the entire system of cars.

Submitted on Sunday, August 20, 2017

First Name: Varadha Venkatesh

Last Name:

Subject:

Comment: Eagerly waiting for a very long time. Extra taxes paid so far will get payoffs when the train/cars start rolling.

From: Eileen S. Vergino
Sent: Monday, October 16, 2017 1:53 PM
To: BART To Livermore Outreach
Subject: The time is NOW

As a long time Livermore resident (40 year) I have paid taxes to cover the extension of BART to Livermore. Instead I must suffer the failure to bring BART here every time I get on 580 or there is an accident and our streets are filled with commuters trying to escape gridlock. Instead BART was extended to counties and cities who have not paid. Our time is NOW! BART must be extended to Livermore, both to Isabelle and to the east side to link up with the ACE Train, in order to get the cars off of 580 and our local streets. This is a quality of life issue and we are owed this connection.
Eileen Vergino

Sent from Eileen's iPad

From: Martin W
Sent: Tuesday, August 01, 2017 1:51 PM
To: BART To Livermore Outreach
Subject: BART doesn't connect with ACE despite ACE getting \$600 million in upgrades.

I was looking at the EIR and the map, and report does not cover connection with ACE Train. Given that California just allocated another \$600 Million for ACE upgrades, the wisdom of placing BART stations even further from ACE needs to be re-evaluated.

The project as is, should not proceed.

Martin

This page intentionally blank